

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 35- Sarkaghat
(c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, Mandi**
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07-1-2023**

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **6**
(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: **8-12-2022**
(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Sh Daleep Thakur (BJP)**

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- CB)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Sh Daleep Thakur (Bhartiya Janta Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	25,24,133/-	Yes	20,50,000/-	-	4,00,011/- List attached	-	
2	SH Pawan Kumar (Indian National Congress)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	27,40,104/-	Yes	30,00,000/-	-	5,00,000 List attached	-	
3	Sh Ramesh Chand (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	14,090/-	Yes	-	-	-	-	
4	Sh Kailash Chand (Rashtriya Dev Bhoomi Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	1,61,360/-	Yes	-	-	62,695/- List attached	-	
5	Sh Dhameshwar Ram (Aam Admi Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	2,94,274/-	Yes	-	-	-	-	
6	Sh Munish Sharma (Independent)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	13,11,020/-	Yes	-	-	-	-	

(Arindam Chaudhary), IAS
District Election Officer (DC),
Mandi District Mandi

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any, _____

Date: 07-01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____


Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: AC -

I

35 Sarkaghat
VSE-2022

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.
RULES, 1961**

Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Daleep Thakur
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	35- Sarkaghat
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Daleep Thakur(BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	Nil
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Nil
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Nil
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 25,24,133/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA NA NA

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	Nil	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	Nil	—	—	NA	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate : (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07-01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 2

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: AC -35 Sarkaghat
VSE-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Pawan Kumar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	35- Sarkaghat
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Daleep Thakur(BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	—
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	Nil
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Nil
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Nil
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 27,40,104/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA NA NA

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
(i)	Nil	—	—	NA	—	—	
ii, iii	Nil	—	—	NA	—	—	
Total							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA	
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA	
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA	
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA	
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA	
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes	
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07-01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____ 3 _____

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: AC -35- Sarkaghat
VSE-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ramesh Chand
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	35- Sarkaghat
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Daleep Thakur(BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	✓
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	Nil
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Nil
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Nil
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 14,090/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
(i)	Nil	-	-	NA	-	-	
ii, iii	Nil	-	-	NA	-	-	
Total							
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA	
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA	
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA	
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA	
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA	
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes	
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07-01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: AC -35 Sarkaghat
VSE-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Kailash Chand
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	RDBP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	35- Sarkaghat
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Daleep Thakur(BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	Nil
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Nil
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Nil
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,61,360/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	Nil ✓	✓	✓	NA	—	—
ii, iii	Nil ✓	✓	✓	NA	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07-01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: AC-35 Sarkaghat
VSE-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Dhameshwar Ram
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	35- Sarkaghat
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Daleep Thakur(BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	—
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	Nil
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Nil
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Nil
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2,94,274/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	Nil	—	—	NA.	—	—
ii, iii	Nil	—	—	NA.	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07-01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____ 6.

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: AC -35 Sarkaghat
VSE-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Munish Sharma
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	35- Sarkaghat
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Daleep Thakur(BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	Nil
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	Nil
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	Nil
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 13,11,020/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	Nil	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	Nil	—	—	NA	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07-01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

,I