

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 12-Jawalamukhi

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 06

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Kangra


(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts 07/01/2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Sanjay Rattan


1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes/No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes/No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/autho rized by the candidate/age nt(as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by other/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by cash Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and Mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Thakur Ravinder Singh (Ravi) (Bharatiya Janata Party)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	2480454/-	Yes	2500000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
2	Sushil Kumar (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07.01.2023	01-06-23	Yes	Yes	1,66950/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
3	Sanjay Rattan (Indian National Congress)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1857235.19/-	Yes	3000000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
4	Hoshiar Singh Bharti (Aam Aadmi Party)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	615701/-	Yes	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
5	Atul Kaushal (Independent)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	758067/-	Yes	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-

6	Sunil Kumar (Independent)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	193240/-	Yes	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
---	------------------------------	------------	------------	-----	-----	----------	-----	---	-----	-----	-----	---


District Election Officer (DC)
Kangra at Dharamshala.

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7th January, 2023




Signature of Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(1)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Thakur Ravinder Singh (Ravi), Ward No. 5 MC Palampur, District Kangra H.P. -176061
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	12-Jawalamukhi
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sanjay Rattan
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				2480454/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Yes
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Yes
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p>  <p>Signature</p> <p>Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DEO)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-23</p>  <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(2)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sushil Kumar, Vill. Chownki PO Lagru, Tehsil Khundian, District Kangra H.P.- 176031
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	12-Jawalamukhi
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sanjay Rattan
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-1-2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				166950 /-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				(i) Yes (ii) Can not be done at this stage (iii) I am satisfied with the reply given by the Candidate that the expenditure incurred by him which has not been routed through bank account is petty expenditure.	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Yes
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes  Signature Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) Kangra at Dheramshala. (Name of the DEO).
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>Date: 7th January, 2023</div> <div>  Signature of Expenditure Observer </div> </div>		

Office of the District Election Officer cum Deputy Commissioner,
Kangra at Dharamshala

No. 3-D/S(ELN)-31/2022

Dated: 6th Jan 2023

NOTICE


Whereas, you had contested the elections to the Himachal Pradesh Assembly from the 12-Jwalamukhi Assembly Constituency in the Assembly Elections -2022;

Whereas, the account reconciliation meeting was held on the 3rd Jan 2023 onwards at the B.Ed. College Auditorium, Dharamshala;

Whereas, it has been brought to the notice of the undersigned that the election expenditure incurred by you as a candidate was not routed through the bank account specifically opened for the purpose;

Whereas, as per the standing instructions of the Election Commission of India, all the election expenditure to be incurred by the candidate has to be done through the bank account only, which has been opened for the purpose of election expenditure monitoring;

Now therefore, you are hereby called upon to show cause why action should not be initiated against you as per the provisions of law for not adhering to this instruction of Election Commission of India. Your reply should reach the undersigned within a day of issue of this notice, otherwise it shall be presumed that you have nothing to say in your defence and action as per law shall be initiated.


6/1/22


Dr. Noun Jindal
District Election Officer
Kangra at Dharamshala

Sh. Sushil Kumar
Vill. Chownki, PO Lagru
Tehsil Khundian, District Kangra
Himachal Pradesh

Copy to the Returning Officer for 12-Jwalamukhi AC for service upon the candidate. He should also ensure that the reply to the notice is submitted within the stipulated time frame and the reply is sent to the undersigned for necessary action.

Received

Sushil Kumar & Shri Roshan Lal
07/1/2023


District Election Officer
Kangra at Dharamshala
6/1/22

सेवा में

जिला निरीक्षण अधिकारी,
कांगड़ा स्थित दफ्तर।

विषय: स्पर्धात्मक के संबंध में

प्रसंग: आपका पत्रांक : 3-D/S(ELN)-31/2022 दिनांक जनवरी 2023

महोदय

प्रासंगिक पत्र के माध्यम से मुझे सूचित किया गया है कि जौ
कुहरा रवच विधान सभा चुनाव 2022 के दौरान उम्मीदवार के लॉर पर
मेरे द्वारा किया गया है, वह चुनाव उद्देश्य के लिए खोले गए बैंक खाते
के माध्यम से भेजा किया गया है। जबकि मास्टर निरीक्षण आयोग के निर्देशों-
नुसार, चुनाव के सन्दर्भ में किया जाने वाला रवच इसी बैंक खाते के
माध्यम से होना था।

इस सन्दर्भ में मेरा निम्न निवेदन है कि इस विषय के
में पूर्णतया समझ नहीं पाया था कि चुनावी प्रक्रिया में उम्मीदवार द्वारा
किए गए छोटे-छोटे रवचों को भी चुनाव उद्देश्य के लिए खोले
गए बैंक खाते के माध्यम से करना है। यह मेरी अनभिज्ञता के
कारण हुआ है। मेरे द्वारा चुनावी प्रक्रिया में किया गया रवच मास्टर निरीक्षण
आयोग द्वारा निर्धारित किए गए रवच से बहुत कम है। अतः आपसे
अनुवेद है कि चुनावी प्रक्रिया में किए गए छोटे-छोटे रवचों को मेरे
चुनावी रवच में शामिल कर लिया जाए। अतः ऊपर बताई गई
परिस्थितियों को लापरवाही के लिए कोई कार्यवाही न की जाए तथा मेरे
को जारी किए गए काख वताओ नीति के रद्द किया जाए।

आवेदक

Sushil Kumar

BSP



8/1/2023

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(3)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sanjay Rattan, Ajudhia Niwas Ward No. 1 PO & Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra HP- 176031
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	12-Jawalamukhi
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sanjay Rattan
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				1857235.19/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Yes
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes  Signature Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DEO) Mangraol Dharamshala.
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>Date: 7-1-23</div> <div>  Signature of Expenditure Observer </div> </div>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(4)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Hoshiar Singh Bharti, Vill. Kohalari PO Tihri, Tehsil Khundian, District Kangra H.P. 176032
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	12-Jawalamukhi
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sanjay Rattan
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 615701/- ✓	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	76
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	76
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	76
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	76
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	76
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala.</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-23</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(5)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Atul Kaushal, Ward No. 1, Jawalamukhi PO & Tehsil Jawalamukhi, District Kangra HP 176031
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	12-Jawalamukhi
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sanjay Rattan
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 758067/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	76
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	76
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	76
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	76
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	76
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	<p>Yes</p>  <p>Signature</p> <p>Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS</p> <p>(Name of the DEO)</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala.</p>
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
<p>Date: 7-1-23</p>  <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(6)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sunil Kumar, Vill. Diglehar, PO Gharna, Tehsil Khundian, District Kangra (HP) 176030
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	12-Jawalamukhi
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sanjay Rattan
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				193240/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Yes
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes  Signature Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DEO) Kargil District, Ladakh.
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
Date:	 Signature of Expenditure Observer	