SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 64-Shimla(Rural)

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates:

Six

(c) State and District:

Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election:

08-12-2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts:

07-01-2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate:

Sh Vikramaditya Singh (INC)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9		10.		11.
Sr. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	lodging of of accounts by lodged in the lodged in expenses incurred/ DEO agrees Party (As repo		Total expenses Party (As report Abstract St	ed in Part-III of	in Part-III of reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditu				
				(Yes or No)	manner required by law (Yes or No)	candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C- 3)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	re Observer
1	Sh Praveen Kumar, Independent	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	47,000/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	47,000/- 1.Meena Devi (Cash)	Nil	-
2	Sh Balvinder Kumar Singh, BSP	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	62,356/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	1,00,000/- 1.M/s New Eagle Service	Nil	-
3	Sh Prem Thakus, Kumar, AAP	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	64,000/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4	Sh Puran Dutt, Rashtriya Dev Bhumi	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	1,95,135/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
5	Sh. Vikramaditya Singh, INC	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	24,93,960/-	Yes	30,00,000/-	Nil	15000/- 1.Advika -5000 2.Rakesh Sharma - 10,000	Nil	-

6	Sh Ravi Kumar Mehta, BJP	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	23,55,796/- Yes	15,00,000	Nil	5,00,000/- 1. Yugal Mehta -1,00,000 2. Inder Pal Thakur - 200,000 3. Partap Thakur - 1,00,000	Nil	-
									4.Ishwar Rohal-1,00,000		

District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any;

Date: 69

January, 2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -1 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Praveen Kumar, Village Seri, PO Neri, Tehsil Shimla(Rural) District Shimla, HP		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	64-Shimla(Rural)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Vikramaditya Singh		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation	Yes		
•	Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)			
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
	If not, reason thereof.			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 47,000/-		

	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		en P.					
1	17.	defe	o, then please mention cts with details		N.A	N.A		
		Reg	Whether Elec ister comprising of D ister, Cash Register tract Statement has be	ay to Day Accou	nt			
		has	Whether duly swo	ndidate	N.A			
		item)Whether requisite vouc s of election expenditu	re submitted	N.A N.A			
		opei	Whether separate B					
		(v) petty acco	Whether all expense of expenditure of the country o		N.A	,		
1	8.		Whether the DEO ha		No			
		(ii) the	Whether the car	ndidate rectified				
		(iii abov not.	e, i.e. whether the defe		or			
15	9.	by th show and l	ther the items of elections candidate correspondent in the Shadow Ob Folder of Evidence.	d with the expense oservation Regist	es			
	Items of expendit		Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
					Nil	<u> </u>		
20	0.			e his Register of Expenditure by the	Yes			
21		durin	g campaign period	persons 3 times		·		
	.•	ment to ab	EO does not agree ioned against Row ove, give the followi	No. 19 referreding details:-	Ī			
		broug camp Recor	Were the defects reports to the notice of the aign period or durinciliation Meeting	candidate durin ing the Accour	g it			
	-	issued transl	f yes, then annex copie I relating to discrepan ation (if it is in regio on the date of notice	cies with Englis	h N.A			

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 9-1-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Comment Date:	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Balbinder Kumar Singh, Kamla Bhawan Kachi Ghatti PO Tara Devi, Tehsil Shimla (R)District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	64-Shimla(Rural)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Vikramaditya Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 62,356 /-

16.	lodg	ether in the DEO's op- tion expenses of the countries of	candidate has be quired by the R.	P.		
17.	defects with details			N.A		
·	Regi	Whether Electister comprising of Dister, Cash Register tract Statement has be	ay to Day Accou	int		
	has (iii)	Whether duly swo been submitted by can Whether requisite vouc	ndidate chers in respect of	N.A N.A		
	(iv)	s of election expenditu Whether separate B ned for election		N.A		
	(v) petty acco	Whether all expert expenditure) routed the unt		N.A		
18.		Whether the DEO has candidate for rectify Whether the car				· .
	the d	e, i.e. whether the def		or		
19.	by the show	ther the items of election the candidate correspond on in the Shadow Of Folder of Evidence.	d with the expense bservation Regis	ses		
Items of expend	of	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
			ı	of evidence NIL		
20.	for Obse	inspection rver/RO/Authorized	e his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 time	Yes		
21.	If D ment	g campaign period EO does not agree ioned against Row ove, give the follow	No. 19 referre			
	broug camp Reco	Were the defects of the aign period or duraction mediation Meeting	e candidate during the Account	g nt		
,	issued transl	If yes, then annex coping to discrepant ation (if it is in region on the date of notice	ncies with Englis	h N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 9-1-23	Aditya Negi, IA8 District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -3 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Prem Kumar, Rajpal House 62/1 Chaili - Kalan, PO Chailli, Tehsil Shimla(R) District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	64-Shimla(Rural)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Vikramaditya Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6. `	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
	If not, reason thereof.	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 64,000 /-

16.	election lodged	er in the DEO's op n expenses of the in the manner rea 951 and C.E. Rules	candidate has be quired by the R.	P.				
17.		hen please mention with details	the following	N.A	N.A			
	Registe	Whether Elector comprising of D r, Cash Register ct Statement has be	ay to Day Accou	int				
	(ii) W	hether duly swo een submitted by ca	rn in affidavit	N.A				
		hether requisite voud f election expenditu		N.A				
		Whether separate E I for election	Bank Account	N.A				
	(v)	Whether all expe		N.A		¥		
18.	to the	ether the DEO ha	ying the defect					
	the def	ect	ndidate rectified					
	(iii) above, not.	Comments of the i.e. whether the def		or				
19.	by the of shown and Fol	r the items of electic candidate correspon in the Shadow Of der of Evidence. hen mention the fol	d with the expens bservation Regist	ses				
Items of expend	f Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register		Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate			
				NIL				
20				Vas				
20.	Accou		Expenditure	Yes				
20.	for Observe	nting election inspection						
20.	for Observe during of If DEC mention	nting election inspection r/RO/Authorized	Expenditure by the persons 3 times e with the fact No. 19 referred	s N.A				
	for Observeduring of If DEC mention to above (i) We brought campaig Reconcil	inspection r/RO/Authorized ampaign period does not agree ned against Row	by the persons 3 times with the fact No. 19 referred ing details:-noticed by DE e candidate during the Account	s N.A O N.A gnt				

Date:		Signature of the Expenditure Observer
9	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	or Chry
	Summary Report of DEO) Date: 9-1-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -4 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Puran Dutt, Village Bag, PO Deola Mashobra, Tehsil Sunni, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	64-Shimla(Rural)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Vikramaditya Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,95,135/-

16.	election exp	enses of the c	nion, the account andidate has been wired by the R	en			
		me manner requand C.E. Rules,	uired by the R., 1961	r.			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			nt			
	(ii) Wheth	ner duly swor submitted by can	n in affidavit didate	N.A			
		er requisite voucl ction expenditur	hers in respect of re submitted	N.A			
	opened for			N.A			
	(v) W petty expend account	/hether all expenditure) routed th	diture (except rough bank	N.A			
18.		er the DEO had lidate for rectify	d issued a notice	No			
	the defect	•	didate rectified				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.						
19.	by the cand shown in t and Folder	idate correspond he Shadow Ob of Evidence.	n expenses reported with the expension Regist	es			
	If No, then	mention the foll	lowing:	·			
	Items of expenditure Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register Other		Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
				NIL	<u> </u>		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure			Yes			
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period						
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-			1			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting			g nt			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice			h N.A			

	Land 111 111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	TAY A
	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 9-1-2-3	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	es, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -5 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Sh Vikramaditya Singh, Holly Lodge Shimla District Shimla, HP		
1.	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	64-Shimla(Rural)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Vikramaditya Singh		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 24,93,960/-		

16.	election expens	ses of the c	nion, the account candidate has be juired by the R. , 1961	en			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			N.A			
	(i) Whet Register comp	her Elect rising of Da n Register,	ay to Day Accou	nt	N.A		
	(ii) Whether has been subn	duly swor	rn in affidavit ndidate	N.A			
	(iii) Whether re items of election		hers in respect of re submitted	N.A			
	(iv) Whether opened for ele	ction		N.A			
	(v) Whet petty expenditu		nditure (except erough bank	N.A			
18.			e No	No			
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			or			
19.	by the candidate shown in the and Folder of E	e correspond Shadow Ob vidence.	on expenses report d with the expens pservation Regist	es			
If No, then mention the following temperature Items of expenditure Date		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
				NIL			
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the			Yes			
21	Observer/RO/Au during campaign	thorized period	persons 3 times				
21.	21. If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting			g			
	Reconciliation I	Meeting	es of all the notice				

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 9-1-23	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Comment	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -6 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Sh Ravi Kumar Mehta Village, Ayan, PO Sadhupul Tehsil & District Shimla, HP		
1.	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	ВЈР		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	64-Shimla(Rural)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Vikramaditya Singh		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 23,55,796/-		

16.	election lodge	ner in the DEO's opion expenses of the cd in the manner req	candidate has be uired by the R.	en		
17.		If No, then please mention the following defects with details				
	Regist	Whether Elect ter comprising of Dater, Cash Register, act Statement has been	ay to Day Accou Bank Registe	nt		
	hàs l	Whether duly swor been submitted by car	ndidate	N.A		
		Whether requisite vouc of election expenditu		N.A		
		Whether separate B ed for election		N.A		
	(v) petty e accou	Whether all experexpenditure) routed that		N.A		-
18.		hether the DEO had candidate for rectify		No		
	(ii) the de		ididate rectified DEO on the			
	\ /	, i.e. whether the defe		or		
19.	by the shown and Fo	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				
1 1	expenditure Of Shadow Observation Register O		Mention amoun as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	t Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
				of evidence NIL		
20.		Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure			<u> </u>	
	during	Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				
21.	mentio to abo	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				
	brough campa Recond	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				
	issued transla	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date: 9-1-27	Aditya Negi IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
a.	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Coting .
Date:		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.