

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 39-Barsar

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 07

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Hamirpur

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023


(f) Name of the elected candidate: Inder Dutt Lakhanpal


1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes/No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes/No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by other/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by cash Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and Mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Inder Dutt Lakhanpal (Indian National Congress)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	23,68,425	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	20,00,000	Nil	22,15,432	Nil	-
2	Maya Sharma(Bharatiya Janata Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	21,51,826	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	25,00,000	Nil	2,50,000	Nil	-
3	Rattan Chand Katoch (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	92,064	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-

4	Gulshan Soni (Aam Aadmi Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	8,14,461 ✓	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Nil	Nil	6079	Nil	-
5	Naresh Kumar (Rashriya Devbhumi Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	14,838 ✓	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
6	Paramjit Dhatwalia (Himachal Jan Kranti Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	39,822 ✓	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
7	Sanjeev Kumar (Independent)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	11,83,593 ✓	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Nil	Nil	11,88,613	Nil	-

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-2023


Signature of DEO


Signature of Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur



Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Inder Dutt Lakhanpal S/o Salig Ram Lakhanpal, Village Kowa PO. Bhakreri, Tehsil Barsar, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	39-Barsar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Inder Dutt Lakhanpal, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023(Original account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				23,68,425/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>No</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh



District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Smt. Maya Sharma W/o Baldev Sharma, Village & PO. Mehre , Tehsil Barsar , District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	39-Barsar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Inder Dutt Lakhanpal, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023(Original account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				21,51,826/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita. (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh



District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Rattan Chand Katoch S/o Khalelu Ram, Village & PO. Barsar, Tehsil Barsar, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	39-Barsar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Inder Dutt Lakhanpal, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				92,064/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjta . District Election Officer (DEO) Hamirpur District Hamirpur (H.P.) (Name of the DEO)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p></p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023.</p> <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh



District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Gulshan Soni S/o Rattan Chand , Village Ladraur Kalan, PO. Ladraur , Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	39-Barsar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Inder Dutt Lakhanpal, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				8,14,461/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjta. (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023.</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur



Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Naresh Kumar S/o Veer Singh , Village Ujhan PO. Didwin Tikkar , Tehsil Barsar, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	39-Barsar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Inder Dutt Lakhanpal, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				14,838/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita</p> <p>(Name of the DEO):</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC)</p> <p>Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023</p> <p></p> <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh



District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh.Paramjit Dhatwalia S/o Ajmer Singh , Village Jamli,PO. Lafran , Tehsil Dhatwal at Bijhari , District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Himachal Jan Kranti Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	39-Barsar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Inder Dutt Lakhanpal, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023(Original account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				39,822/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>Signature</p> <p>Jitender Samyita</p> <p>(Name of the DEO):</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC)</p> <p>Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023.</p> <p></p> <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh



District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Sanjeev Kumar S/o Banshi Dhar , Village Budhan, PO. Pairwin , Tehsil Bijhari, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	39-Barsar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Inder Dutt Lakhanpal, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023(Original account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				11,83,593 /-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		