## SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 66-Rampur(SC)

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: Five

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08-12-2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07

07-01-2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Sh Nand Lal (INC)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9		10.		11.		
Sr. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	didate and Party lodging of	lidate and Party lodging of	didate and Party lodging of	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes	Whether lodged in the manner	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/agent	Whether the DEO agrees with the	Total expenses Party ( As report Abstract St	ed in Part-III of	Total expenses incurred b reported in Part-III of Al	ostract Statement	Remarks of the Expenditu
				or No)	required by law (Yes or No)	(as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C- 13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	re Observer		
1	Sh. Kaul Singh, BJP	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	22,03,525/-	Yes	15,00,000/-	Nil	1000/- Suresh Kumar	Nil			
2	Sh. Desh Raj, BSP	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	10,000/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil			
3	Sh. Uday Singh, AAP	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	2,99,576/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-		
4	Sh. Nand lal, INC	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	29,55,875/-	Yes	20,00,000	Nil	Nil	Nil			
5	Sh Pritam Dev, Independent	07-01-2023					No	t Submitted						

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any;

Date:

9<sup>th</sup>January, 2023

District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

### Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -1 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Kaul Singh, House No. 251 Ward No. 7 Khopdi, Tehsil Rampur District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	ВЈР
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	66-Rampur(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Nand Lal
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N. A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 22,03,525 /-

16	5.	elect lodg	ether in the DEO's option expenses of the coged in the manner recognition, 1951 and C.E. Rules	candidate has be quired by the R.	P.		
17	7.		o, then please mention cts with details	the following	N.A		
		Regi	Whether Electister comprising of Dister, Cash Register tract Statement has be	ay to Day Accou	int		
		has	Whether duly swo been submitted by can Whether requisite vouc	ndidate	N.A		
		(iv)	s of election expenditu Whether separate B		N.A		
		(v)	Whether all expert expenditure) routed the	nditure (except hrough bank	N.A		
18	3.	(i)' to th	Whether the DEO hance candidate for rectify  Whether the can				
		(iii)	e, i.e. whether the def		or		
19.	•	by the	ther the items of election the candidate correspond on in the Shadow Of Folder of Evidence.	d with the expens	es		
			o, then mention the fol		_		
l I	ems of xpendit		Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
					NIL		•
20.	•	Acc for	inspection	e his Register of Expenditure by the	Yes		
21.		durin	rver/RO/Authorized g campaign period EO does not agree	persons 3 times			
21.		ment to ab	ioned against Row ove, give the follow	No. 19 referred ing details:-	d		
		broug camp Reco	Were the defects of the aign period or durinciliation Meeting	e candidate durin ring the Accour	g nt		
	,	issued transl	If yes, then annex copical relating to discrepant ation (if it is in region the date of notice	ncies with Englis	h N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date: 9-1-23	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

 $<sup>\</sup>ast$  if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

# Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Desh Raj, Village Grasu, PO Sholi, Tehsil Nankhari, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	66-Rampur(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Nand Lal
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation	N.A N.A
1 5.	if any, of the candidate  Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 10,000/-

16.	elect	ther in the DEO's opion expenses of the	candidate has be quired by the R.	en				
17.	Act,	1951 and C.E. Rules	s, 1961	NT A	N A			
17.	defec	o, then please mention ets with details		N.A				
	Regi	Whether Electory Ster comprising of Dister, Cash Register ract Statement has be	ay to Day Accou	nt				
	(ii)	Whether duly swo been submitted by car	rn in affidavit	N.A				
		Whether requisite vouc of election expenditu		N.A				
		Whether separate B	ank Account	N.A				
	(v) petty accor	Whether all expe expenditure) routed that		N.A				
18.		Whether the DEO ha		<sub>e</sub> No				
	(ii) the d	Whether the carefect	ndidate rectified					
	(iii) above not.	Comments of the e, i.e. whether the def		or				
19.	by the show and F	her the items of electice e candidate correspon- n in the Shadow Ol older of Evidence.	d with the expense expervation Regist	es				
		, then mention the fol						
Items of expend	-	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
		<del></del>		of evidence				
	<b>_</b>			NIL				
20.		the candidate produce	e his Register of Expenditure	Yes				
	1	ver/RO/Authorized campaign period	by the persons 3 times					
			with the fact					
21.	If DI menti to abo	O does not agree oned against Row ove, give the following	No. 19 referred ng details:-					
21.	If DI menti to abo (i) V brough campa	oned against Row	No. 19 referred ng details:- noticed by DEtachdidate during the Account	D N.A				

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 9-1-23	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Amery
Date: 9-1	·13	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

 $<sup>\</sup>ast$  if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

### Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 3 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Uday Singh, Village Suru, PO Koot, Tehsil Rampur District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	66-Rampur(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Nand Lal
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2,99,576/-

election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P	1		
If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A		
Register comprising of Day to Day Account			
(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A		
(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A		
(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A		
(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A		
(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (ii) Whether the candidate rectified	No		
the defect  (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not			
by the candidate correspond with the expenses			
If No, then mention the following:			
f Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure  for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times	Yes		
If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	N.A		
(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A		
(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A		
	election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961  If No, then please mention the following defects with details  (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged  (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate  (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted  (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election  (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account  (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (iii) Whether the defect was rectified the defect  (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.  Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:  Ture  Date  Page No.  of Shadow Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period  If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:  (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting  (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and	whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961  If No, then please mention the following defects with details:  (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged  (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate  (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted  (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election  (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account  (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (ii) Whether the defect was rectified the defect  (iii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect  (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.  Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:  Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register of Accounting election Expenditure  For inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period  If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:  (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting  (ii) Yes, the nannex copies of all the notices is used relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and N.A.	whether in the DEO or spinlon, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961  If No, then please mention the following defects with details  (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged  (ii) Whether duly swom in affidavit has been submitted by candidate  (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted  (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election  (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account  (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (iii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect  (iii) Whether the defect was rectified or not.  Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:  Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:  Ture Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observation Register/folder of evidence  NIL  Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observation Register/Ro/Acuthorized persons 3 times during campajin period  If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:  (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campajin period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting  (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 9-/-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Comment	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

#### Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -4 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Nand Lal, VPO Deothi, Tehsil Rampur District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	66-Rampur(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Nand Lal
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 29,55,875 /-

16.	Whether in the D election expenses lodged in the m Act, 1951 and C	s of the car anner requ	ndidate has be ired by the R.	en		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			N.A		
	(i) Whether Register comprise Register, Cash Abstract Stateme	ing of Day Register,	to Day Accou	nt		
	(ii) Whether d	ted by cand	idate	N.A		
	(iii) Whether requirems of election of	expenditure	submitted	N.A N.A		
	(iv) Whether se opened for electi (v) Whethe	on	iture (except	N.A		
	petty expenditure)			N.A		
18.	(i) Whether the to the candidate (ii) Whether the defect	for rectifying		No		
	(iii) Comme above, i.e. whether not.	nts of the Der the defect	STATE OF THE PARTY	or		
19.	Whether the items by the candidate of shown in the Sh and Folder of Evic If No, then mention	correspond value of the correspond value of the corresponding to the cor	with the expenservation Regist	es		
Items o expendi	f Da	Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register			Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
				of evidence NIL		
20.	Did the candidat			Yes		
	for inspect Observer/RO/Author during campaign p	ion by	the rsons 3 times			
21.	If DEO does not mentioned again to above, give the	ot agree v st Row N e following	o. 19 referred details:-			
	(11) Maro the c	etects not	iced by DEC			
	brought to the notic campaign period Reconciliation Me  (ii) If yes, then an	or during	g the Accoun	t		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 9-1-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
		5.5 South of the Experience Costs for

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.