SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 63-Shimla

Seven

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: (c) State and District:

Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election:

08-12-2022 07-01-2023

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: (f) Name of the elected candidate:

Sh Harish Ianartha (INC)

	tille of the elec				Sn Ha	rish Janartha (INC)					
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
No.		ate and Party lodging of		Whether lodged in the prescribed format	The first term of the second s	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the	Whether the DEO agrees with the	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others reported in Part-III of Abstract St		Remarks of the Expenditu
				(Yes or No)	manner required by law (Yes or No)	candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure- C- 3)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	re Observer
1	Sh Rajesh Kumar Gill, / BSP	03-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	28,980/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
2	Sh Sanjay Sood BJP	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	29,72,341/-	Yes	18,15,754	Nil	357329 By party worker or well wisher	Nil	No detail mentioned in schedule -9 as well in the Bank or Cash Register
3	Sh Kalyan Singh, Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	2,21,135/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	51000 1.Dinesh -9000 2.Deventi-8000 3.Leela Nand -10000 4.Surender -8000 5.Kalyan Singh -8000 6.Hemant -8000	Nil	
4	Sh Chaman Rakesh Azta, AAP	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	2,94,769/-	Yes	24100	Nil	69000 1. Arvind -5000 2.Sanjay – 7000 3.Annu-50000 Devendera -7000	Nil	-
5	Sh Harish Janartha, INC	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	17,14,739/-	Yes	20,000,00	Nil	Nil	Nil	-

6	Sh Tikender Singh Panwar, CPIM		06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	2,97,566/-	Yes	1,45,000	Nil	60500/- 1.Jagdish Thakur -10,000 2.Ram Singh -9,000 3.Sunil Vashisth-8500 4.Priya Kashyap -10000 5.Jagat Ram -9000 6.Aiklvay patniak -5000 7. Anil Thakur -9000	Nil	
7	Sh Abheshek Barowalia, Independent	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	4,21,067/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	

District Election Officer (DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any;

Date:

January, 2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -1 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Rajesh Kumar Gill, Gill Niwas Lower Khalini Shimla, District Shimla, HP		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	63-Shimla		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Harish Janartha		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A		
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.28,980 /-		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 9-1-20	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen	its, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	a Oslan
Date: 9	1.33	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Sanjay Sood, Flat No. 6 Helen Lodge, Ole Bus Stand Shimla, District Shimla, HP		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	ВЈР		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	63-Shimla		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Harish Janartha		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
	If not, reason thereof.			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 29,72,341/-		

Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account election expenses of the candidate has lodged in the manner required by the lact, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		candidate has be equired by the R.	en						
17.	17. If No, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				N.A				
	has been	submitted by c	orn in affidavit andidate ichers in respect of	N.A N.A					
<u>-</u>			ure submitted	N.A					
	opened for	election	Bank Account						
		Vhether all exp diture) routed	enditure (except through bank	N.A					
18.			ad issued a notice fying the defect	No					
	the defect		andidate rectified						
	\ /·		ne DEO on the efect was rectified of	r					
19.	by the cand shown in and Folder	lidate correspond the Shadow Cof Evidence.	ion expenses reporte nd with the expense Observation Registe	es					
		mention the fo			L				
Items o expendi	iture of Shadow Observation Register OR			Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate			
				NIL	<u> </u>				
									
20.	Did the ca		ce his Register of Expenditure	Yes					
	Observer/R0	inspection D/Authorized	by the persons 3 times						
21.	Observer/RO during camp If DEO d	D/Authorized paign period oes not agre	persons 3 times	N.A					
21.	Observer/RO during camp If DEO d mentioned to above, g	D/Authorized paign period oes not agre against Row ive the follow	persons 3 times be with the facts No. 19 referred ving details:-	N.A					
21.	Observer/RG during camp If DEO d mentioned to above, g (i) Were brought to t campaign Reconciliati	D/Authorized baign period oes not agre against Row give the follow the defects he notice of the period or due to Meeting	persons 3 times be with the facts No. 19 referred	N.A N.A					

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 9-1-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Comment Date: 7	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -3 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Kalyan Singh, C-86, Sector-1 Main Road New Shimla, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	63-Shimla
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Harish Janartha
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2,21,135/-

							_		
16.	elect	ether in the DEO's opition expenses of the c ged in the manner req , 1951 and C.E. Rules	andidate has be uired by the R.	en					
defects with details			N.A						
			ınt						
	(ii) has	Whether duly swor been submitted by car	n in affidavit ididate	N.A					
)Whether requisite vouc s of election expenditu		N.A					
) Whether separate B ned for election		N.A					
	(v) petty acco	Whether all expery expenditure) routed the count	nditure (except rough bank	N.A					
18.	(i)	Whether the DEO had no candidate for rectify		e No					
	(ii) the o	Whether the can defect	didate rectified						
	(iii abov not.	e, i.e. whether the defe		or					
19.	by the show	ther the items of election ne candidate correspond wn in the Shadow Ob Folder of Evidence.	I with the expense servation Regist	es		•			
7.		o, then mention the foll					 		
Items of expendi		Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate			
				Nil					
20.		d the candidate produce counting election	his Register of Expenditure	Yes					
	durin	rver/RO/Authorized j g campaign period	oy the persons 3 times						
21.	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		d						
	broug camp Reco	Were the defects neight to the notice of the paign period or dur nociliation Meeting	candidate durin ing the Accour	g nt					
	issued transl	If yes, then annex copied relating to discrepant lation (if it is in region to the date of notice	cies with Englis	h N.A			·		

Date: 9.	1.23	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	OC John
	Date: 9-1-27	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -4
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Chaman Rakesh Azta, Dev Shanti Kunj North Oak, Sanjauli Shimla, District Shiml HP		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	63-Shimla		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Harish Janartha		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate			
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation	N.A N.A		
1 5.	if any, of the candidate Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2,94,769/-		

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961						
17.	If No, then please me defects with details	ntion the following	N.A				
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged						
	has been submitted	sworn in affidavit by candidate e vouchers in respect of	N.A N.A				
	items of election expe	enditure submitted	N.A				
	(iv) Whether separ opened for election (v) Whether all	expenditure (except	N.A				
	petty expenditure) rot account		N.A				
18.	to the candidate for	O had issued a notice rectifying the defect ne candidate rectified					
	the defect (iii) Comments	of the DEO on the					
19.	by the candidate corr	election expenses report espond with the expent w Observation Register.	ses	Yes			
	If No, then mention t	he following:					
Items of	Date	Page No. of Shadow	Mention amount	Amount as	Amount		
expendi	·	Observation Register	as per the Shadow	per the account submitted by	understated by the candidate		
			Observation Register/folder of evidence	ine candidate			
	NIL						
20.	Did the candidate p Accounting election	roduce his Register of Expenditure	Yes				
	for inspection Observer/RO/Authoriz during campaign peri	od					
21.	mentioned against to above, give the f		ed				
	brought to the notice campaign period of Reconciliation Meeting		ng int				
	issued relating to dis	c copies of all the notice crepancies with Englice regional language) a otice	sh N.A				

*

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 9-1-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -5 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Sh Harish Janartha, Global House, Ashram Road Dhalli Shimla, District Shimla, HP		
1.	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	63-Shimla		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Harish Janartha		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate			
		N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 17,14,739/-		

1	16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			en		
1	7.	defects with details			N.A		
					nt		
		has been su	bmitted by car		N.A		
			r requisite vouc tion expenditu	chers in respect of re submitted	N.A		
		(iv) Wheth		ank Account	N.A		
		(v) W		nditure (except nrough bank	N.A		
1	8.	to the candi	date for rectify	d issued a notice ying the defect	No		
		the defect (iii) Co	mments of the)r		
1	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.		es				
0.5	Items of expendit		nention the fol Date	lowing: Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
					NIL		
			•				
20	0.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the		Yes			
		Observer/RO/ during campa	Authorized	persons 3 times			
21	21. If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		i		·		
		(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		g nt			
		(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice			h N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date: 9-1-2023	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commer	nts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	They
Date: 9	1-23	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -6 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Tikender Singh Panwar, Wardon Residence Girls Hostel, IGMC Shimla, District Shimla, HP	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	CPIM	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	63-Shimla	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Harish Janartha	
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022	
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023	
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes	
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes	
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023	
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes	
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes	
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A	
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate		
		N.A	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A	
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2,97,566 /-	

16.	Whather	in the DEO's or	pinion, the account	of Yes		
	election	expenses of the	candidate has be	en		
		in the manner re 51 and C.E. Rule	equired by the R. es. 1961	P.		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			N.A		
				nt		
	(ii) Wh		orn in affidavit	N.A		
		ether requisite vou election expendit	uchers in respect of ure submitted	N.A		
		hether separate for election	Bank Account	N.A		
	(v)	Whether all expoenditure) routed		N.A		
18.	to the ca	andidate for recti		e No		
	the defe	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				
	(iii) above, i. not.	Comments of the de	fect was rectified	or		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.			es		
Items of expend	of				Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
				of evidence NIL		
20						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure			Yes		
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					
21.	21. If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		d		•	
			g nt			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices			h N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date: 9-1-23	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
9,	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Shirt
Date: -	. 23	Signature of the Experient Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -7 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Abhishek Barowalia, Barowalia Resort North Bank Estate, Below Kalibari Temple Shimla, District Shimla, HP		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	63-Shimla		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Harish Janartha		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
	If not, reason thereof.			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 4,21,067/-		

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			en		•
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			N.A		
				nt		
	(ii) V	Whether duly swore can submitted by car	n in affidavit	N.A		
	(iii) V	hether requisite vouc of election expenditu	hers in respect of	N.A		
	(iv)	Whether separate B	ank Account	N.A		
	(v)	Whether all exper xpenditure) routed th		N.A		
18.		hether the DEO had candidate for rectify		e No		
	(ii) the de	Whether the can	didate rectified			
	(iii) above, not.	Comments of the i.e. whether the defe		or		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.			es		
T		then mention the fol		r	T	
Items of expendi		Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
			<u></u>	of evidence NIL		
	<u> </u>					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the			Yes		
			persons 3 times	5		
21.	21. If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting			g nt		
	issued translat	yes, then annex copic relating to discrepar- tion (if it is in region to the date of notice	cies with Englis	h N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi 1AS
	Date: 9-1-23	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Comment	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.