

**SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES**

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **51-Nalagarh**

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **08**

(c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, Solan**

(d) Date of declaration of result of election: **08.12.2022**


(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07.01.2023**

(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Sh. K.L.Thakur**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in <i>Part-II</i> of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. <b>22</b> of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement )		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Exp. Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1.	Sh. Paras Bains, Bahujan Samaj Party	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,34,985/-	Yes	NIL	NIL	NIL	33,000/-	-
2.	Sh.Lakhwin der Singh Rana, Bharatiya Janata Party	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	27,52,727.97/-	Yes	25,00000/- BJP	2,27,933/-	NIL	15,000/-	-
3.	Sh. Hardeep Singh Bawa, Indian National Congress	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	16,61,204/-	Yes	10,00.000 /- INC	NIL	5,00,000/- (Anil Vashisht)	NIL	-




4.	Sh. Kishori Lal, Swabhiman Party	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	64,565/-	Yes	12,000/- (Swabhiman Party)	24685/-	3,000/- Surender Sharma) 5,000/- (S.K.Garg)	NIL	-
5.	Sh. Jagdish Chand, Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,48,786/-	Yes	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	-
6.	Sh. Dharam Pal, Aam Aadmi Party	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	14,73,525/-	Yes	NIL	NIL	20,00,000/- (Luxmi Stone Crusher) 15,000/- (Puran Rana)	NIL	-
7.	Sh. Jagpal Singh Rana, Independent	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	77320/-	Yes	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	-
8.	Sh. K.L. Thakur, Independent	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	27,33,463.61/-	Yes	NIL	NIL	25,00,000/- (Prem Lata Katoch)	NIL	-

  
 (Kritika Kulhari), IAS  
 District Election Officer (D.C),  
 Solan, District Solan, H.P.

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,.....

Date:

  
 09/01/2023  
 Yogeesh Mishra, IRS  
 Expenditure Observer



**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02**

**Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN**

**Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022**



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
<b>1.</b>	Name & address of the candidate	<b>Sh. Lakhwinder Singh Rana VPO. Joghon Tehsil Nalagarh Distt. Solan HP</b>
<b>2.</b>	Political Party affiliation, if any	<b>Bharatiya Janata Party</b>
<b>3.</b>	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	<b>51-Nalagarh</b>
<b>4.</b>	Name of the elected candidate	<b>Sh. K.L.Thakur</b>
<b>5.</b>	Date of declaration of result	<b>08.12.2022</b>
<b>6.</b>	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	<b>03.01.2023</b>
<b>7.</b>	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	<b>Yes</b>
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	<b>Yes</b>
<b>8.</b>	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	<b>Yes</b>
<b>9.</b>	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	<b>07.01.2023</b>
<b>10.</b>	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<b>Yes</b>
<b>11.</b>	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	<b>07.01.2023</b>
<b>12.</b>	Whether account lodged in time	<b>Yes</b>
<b>12A</b>	If not lodged in time, period of delay	<b>N.A.</b>
<b>13.</b>	If account not lodged or not lodged in time,whether DEO called for explanation from thecandidate. If not, reason thereof.	<b>N.A.</b>
<b>14.</b>	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	<b>N.A.</b>
<b>14A</b>	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	<b>N.A.</b>
<b>15.</b>	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	<b>Rs.27,52,727.97/-</b>



<b>16.</b>	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
<b>17.</b>	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
<b>18.</b>	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
<b>19.</b>	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
<b>i</b>	NA					
<b>ii,iii</b>						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>20.</b>	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
<b>21.</b>	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				<b>Agreed</b>	
	(ii) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the				NA	



	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
<b>22.</b>	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: <b>07.01.2023</b></p>	<p>Yes, Agreed</p> <p></p> <p>(Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan</p>
<b>23.</b>	<p>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</p> <p>Date: <b>07.01.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>	

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01

Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Paras Bains VPO. Manpura, Tehsil Baddi, Distt. Solan
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	51-Nalagarh
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. K.L.Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1,34,985/-



<b>16.</b>	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
<b>17.</b>	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
<b>18.</b>	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
<b>19.</b>	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
<b>i</b>	NA					
<b>ii,iii</b>						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>20.</b>	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
<b>21.</b>	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				<b>Agreed</b>	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the				NA	



	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
<b>22.</b>	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: <b>07.01.2023</b></p>	<p>Yes, Agreed</p> <p> (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan</p>
<b>23.</b>	<p>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Date: <b>07.01.2023</b>      Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>	

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03

Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Hardeep Singh Bawa Vill. Dhana PO. Bhatian, Tehsil Nalagarh, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	51-Nalagarh
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. K.L.Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.16,61,204/-



<b>16.</b>	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
<b>17.</b>	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
<b>18.</b>	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
<b>19.</b>	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
<b>i</b>	NA					
<b>ii,iii</b>						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>20.</b>	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
<b>21.</b>	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				<b>Agreed</b>	
	(iii) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the				NA	



	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
<b>22.</b>	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: <b>07.01.2023</b></p>	<p><i>Yes, Agreed</i></p>  <p><i>(Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan</i></p>
<b>23.</b>	<p>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</p>  <p>Date: <b>07.01.2023</b></p> <p>Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>	

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04  
 Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN


Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022


<b>DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>To be filled up by the DEO</b>
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Kishori Lal Vill. Ambwala, PO. Panjehra, Tehsil Nalagarh. Distt. Solan
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Swabhiman Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	51-Nalagarh
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. K.L.Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.64,565/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner	Yes



	required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961																									
<b>17.</b>	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.																								
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes																								
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes																								
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes																								
<b>18.</b>	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA																								
<b>19.</b>	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>i</b></td><td colspan="5">NA</td></tr> <tr> <td><b>ii,iii</b></td><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"><b>Total</b></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	<b>i</b>	NA					<b>ii,iii</b>						<b>Total</b>						
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
<b>i</b>	NA																									
<b>ii,iii</b>																										
<b>Total</b>																										
<b>20.</b>	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes																								
<b>21.</b>	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	<b>Agreed</b>																								
	(iv) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to	NA																								



	discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
<b>22.</b>	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date <b>07.01.2023</b>	Yes, Agreed   (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan

<b>23.</b>	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Date: <b>07.01.2023</b> Signature of the Expenditure Observer
------------	--

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 05

Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022


**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**


S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Jagdish Chand Vill. Kalyanpur, PO. Nangal, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan HP.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	51-Nalagarh
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. K.L.Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time,whether DEO called for explanation from thecandidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.1,48,786/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the	Yes



	candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					
<b>17.</b>	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
<b>18.</b>	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					
<b>19.</b>	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
<b>i</b>	NA					
<b>ii,iii</b>						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>20.</b>	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
<b>21.</b>	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				<b>Agreed</b>	
	(v) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the				NA	



	notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
<b>22.</b>	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: <b>07.01.2023</b>	Yes, Agreed   (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan

<b>23.</b>	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-    Date: <b>07.01.2023</b> Signature of the Expenditure Observer
------------	---

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 06

Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022


**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**


S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Dharam Pal Vill. Nangal Nihla, PO. Nangal, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan HP.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	51-Nalagarh
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. K.L.Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.14,73,525/-



<b>16.</b>	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
<b>17.</b>	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
<b>18.</b>	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
<b>19.</b>	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
<b>i</b>	NA					
<b>ii,iii</b>						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>20.</b>	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
<b>21.</b>	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				<b>Agreed</b>	
	(vi) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the				NA	



	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
<b>22.</b>	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: <b>07.01.2023</b></p>	<p>Yes, Agreed</p> <p> (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan</p>

<b>23.</b>	<p>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</p> <p></p> <p>Date: <b>07.01.2023</b>      Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>
------------	--

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 08

Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022


**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**


S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. K.L. Thakur Vill. Androla Uparala, PO. Panjehra, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan HP.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	51-Nalagarh
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. K.L.Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.27,33,463.61/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the	Yes



	candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					
<b>17.</b>	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
<b>18.</b>	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
<b>19.</b>	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
<b>i</b>	NA					
<b>ii,iii</b>						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>20.</b>	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
<b>21.</b>	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				<b>Agreed</b>	
	(viii) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the				NA	



	notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
<b>22.</b>	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: <b>07.01.2023</b>	Yes, Agreed   (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan

<b>23.</b>	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Date: <b>07.01.2023</b> Signature of the Expenditure Observer
------------	---

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 07

Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022


**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**


S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Jagpal Singh Rana Vill. Jagatpur PO. Jogho, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	51-Nalagarh
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. K.L.Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date oflodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time,whether DEO called for explanation from thecandidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.77,320/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the	Yes



	candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					
<b>17.</b>	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
<b>18.</b>	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
<b>19.</b>	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
<b>i</b>	NA					
<b>ii,iii</b>						
<b>Total</b>						
<b>20.</b>	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
<b>21.</b>	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				<b>Agreed</b>	
	(vii) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the				NA	



	notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
<b>22.</b>	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: <b>07.01.2023</b>	Yes, Agreed   (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan

<b>23.</b>	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-   Date: <b>07.01.2023</b> Signature of the Expenditure Observer
------------	---

\* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



No. 3- Solan-3/2022-ELN-78  
**OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER-CUM-DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,**  
**DISTRICT SOLAN, H.P. 173212**

Date: 10-01-2023

From

The District Election Officer (DC),  
Solan, District Solan H.P.

To

The Chief Electoral Officer,  
Himachal Pradesh,  
Shimla-171009.

**Subject:- General Election to the Legislative Assembly of Gujrat and Himachal Pradesh-2022- Last visit of EOs for preparation of DEOs scrutiny report etc.-Regarding**

Sir,

Please refer to your office letter No.3-5/2022-ELN-V-6061 dated 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2022 and 23<sup>rd</sup> December,2022 on the subject cited above and to say that out of 32 contesting candidates in respect of all five Assembly Constituencies of this district, 30 candidates have lodged their account with in the stipulated period of 30 days from the day of declaration of result. 2 contesting candidates in respect of 52-Doon AC have not lodged their account upto 07.01.2023. They have been issued Notice to submit their election expenditure account. (Copy enclosed).

The statements of accounts on **Annexure-C-13** along with the comments of Expenditure Observers, **DEO Summary Report** and Summary of DEO's Scrutiny Report in **Annexure C-18** in respect of this district are enclosed herewith for favour of information and necessary action please.

Encl: As above

Yours faithfully,



(Kritika Kulhari), IAS  
District Election Officer (D.C),  
Solan, District Solan.  
Ph. 01792-223792  
e-mail: [elect-sol-hp@nic.in](mailto:elect-sol-hp@nic.in)



Summary of DEO's Scrutiny Report from the State of Himachal Pradesh. General Elections to H.P. Vidhan Sabha, Year -2022.

Category-1-Candidates where no defects pointed out by the DEO in the DEO's Scrutiny Report

Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks
1.	Solan	50-Arki	Sh. Kamlesh	Non- returned	Bahujan Samaj Party	
2.	Solan		Sh. Govind Ram	Non- returned	Bharatiya Janata Party	
3.	Solan		Sh. Sanjay	Returned	Indian National Congress	
4.	Solan		Sh. Jai Dev Singh	Non- returned	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	
5.	Solan		Sh. Jeet Ram	Non- returned	Aam Aadmi Party	
6.	Solan		Sh. Rajender	Non- returned	Independent	
7.	Solan		Sh. Sanjay Kumar	Non- returned	Independent	
8.	Solan	51-Nalagarh	Sh. Paras Bains	Non- returned	Bahujan Samaj Party	
9.	Solan		Sh. Lakhwinder Singh Rana	Non- returned	Bharatiya Janata Party	
10.	Solan		Sh. Hardeep Singh Bawa	Non- returned	Indian National Congress	
11.	Solan		Sh. Kishori Lal	Non- returned	Swabhiman Party	
12.	Solan		Sh. Jagdish Chand	Non- returned	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	
13.	Solan		Sh. Dharam Pal	Non- returned	Aam Aadmi Party	
14.	Solan		Sh. Jagpal Singh Rana	Non- returned	Independent	
15.	Solan		Sh. K.L. Thakur	Returned	Independent	
16.	Solan	52-Doon	Sh. Nagender Chand	Non- returned	Bahujan Samaj Party	
17.	Solan		Sh. Paramjeet Singh Pammi	Non- returned	Bharatiya Janata Party	
18.	Solan		Sh. Ram Kumar	Returned	Indian National Congress	
19.	Solan		Sh. Des Raj Chauhan	Non- returned	Independent	
20.	Solan	53-SDolan(SC)	Sh. Dhani Ram Shandil	Returned	Indian National Congress	
21.	Solan		Sh. Rajinder	Non- returned	Bahujan Samaj Party	
22.	Solan		Sh. Rajesh Kashyap	Non- returned	Bharatiya Janata Party	
23.	Solan		Smt. Anju	Non- returned	Aam Aadmi Party	
24.	Solan	54-Kasauli(SC)	Sh. Rajiv Saizal	Non- returned	Bharatiya Janata Party	
25.	Solan		Sh. Ram Rattan,	Non- returned	Bahujan Samaj Party	
26.	Solan		Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri	Returned	Indian National Congress	
27.	Solan		Sh. Rajinder	Non- returned	Himachal Jan Kranti Party	
28.	Solan		Sh. Rajeev Kumar Kaundal	Non- returned	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	
29.	Solan		Sh. Harmel Singh	Non- returned	Aam Aadmi Party	
30.	Solan		Sh. Om Prakash	Non- returned	Independent	

Total=30



Category-2-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses						
Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks
1	Solan	52-Doon	Sh.Balwant Singh	Non- returned	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	
2			Sh. Swarn Singh Saini	Non- returned	Aam Aadmi Party	
Total=2						
Category-3-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts within prescribed time of 30 days of declaration of results						
Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks (mention (i) last date for submission of accounts, (ii) date of submission of accounts and the number of days delayed, (iii) reason for late submission, if obtained by the DEO)
1	Solan	52-Doon	Sh.Balwant Singh	Non- returned	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	Last date for submission of accounts is 07.01.2023
2			Sh. Swarn Singh Saini	Non- returned	Aam Aadmi Party	Last date for submission of accounts is 07.01.2023
Total =2						
Category-4-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses in the manner required under law (cases other than Category 2 & 3)						
Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/ Independent	Remarks (mention the deficiencies found and amount of understatement, if any)
Total –Nil--						
Category-5-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts in time and manner (cases other than Category 2, 3 & 4)						
Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-	Party Affiliation/	Remarks (mention (i) last
Total –Nil--						



District Election Officer (D.C),  
Solan, District Solan.  
Ph. 01792-223792  
e-mail: [elect-sol-hp@nic.in](mailto:elect-sol-hp@nic.in)