

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 28-Nachan (SC) (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 7

(c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, Mandi**

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08-12-2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07-1-2023**

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Vinod Kumar

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged ng the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodgedin the mannerre quired by law(Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/agent(as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure(Should be similar to point no.22of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-CB)	Total expenses incurred by the Party(As reported in Part-III of AbstractStatement)		Total expenses incurred byothers/entitiesasreporte dinPart-IIIofAbstractStatement		Remarksof theExpenditureObserver
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total ofother expenses inkind by thePoliticalPart y	Lum psum Amount in cash /cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total ofother expensesin kind incurredfor thecandida te	
1	Sh. Nand Lal BSP	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	37384	Yes	-----	-----	-----	-----	
2	Sh. Naresh Kumar Indian National Congress Party	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	965124	Yes	3000000	-----	-----	-----	
3	Sh. Vinod Kumar BJP	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	3099287	Yes	2500000	-----		28935	
4	Smt. JabnaKumari AAP	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	98999	Yes	-----	-----	20500	-----	
5	Sh. Jasveer Singh Independent	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	325462	Yes	---	-----	124520	-----	
6	Sh. Saunu Ram Independent	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	112649	Yes	-----	-----	-----	-----	
7	Sh. Gain Chand INDEPENDENT	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	923743	Yes	-----	-----	960000	-----	

(Arindam Chaudhary), IAS
District Election Officer (DC),
Mandi District Mandi

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any, N/A

Date: 07-01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: VS E-22

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Nand Lal
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	28-Nachan (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Vinod Kumar (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06/01/2023 Original Account
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.37384
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	YES
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	No No

	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	-	-	-	NA	-	-
ii, iii	-	-	-	NA	-	-
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi


23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date 07.01.2023		Signature of the Expenditure Observer 
---	--	--

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 2.

Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: VSE-22

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Naresh Kumar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress Party
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	28-Nachan (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Vinod Kumar (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	YES Original account
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NO
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs965124.
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	Yes
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO NA NA

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA -	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA -	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07.01.2023


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO. 3.

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: VSE-22

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Vinod kumar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	(BSP)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	28-Nachan (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Vinod Kumar (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.3099287
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	No
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes/No
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No NA No

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				yes/no	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓
ii, iii	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					Yes NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07.01.2023


 Signature of the Expenditure Observer


If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.


The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____ 4.

Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: 00 VSE - 22

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Smt Jabna Kumari
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	28-Nachan (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Vinod Kumar (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12	If not lodged in time, Period of delay days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.98999
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	No NA NA NA NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No NA No

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA-	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA.	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	
Date 07.01.2023	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____ 5 _____

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: 11 VSE-22

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Jasveer Singh
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	28-Nachan (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Vinod Kumar (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 325462
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES N.A NA N.A N.A
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO NA NA

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with	yes
----	--	-----

the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:						
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA-	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					No.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					N.A
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date 7/1/23					Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-

Date 07.01. 2023


 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____

Name of the State :Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: AC

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____

6.

Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: VSE- 22

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Saunu Ram
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	28-Nachan (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Vinod Kumar (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.112649
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	YES NA NA NA NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No NA No

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA.	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA.	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date 7/1/23					Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07.01. 2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO _____

Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh District : Mandi Election: VSE- 22

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Gain Chand
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	28-Nachan (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Vinod Kumar (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original Account
12	whether account lodged in time	yes
12	If not lodged in time, Period of delay days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	No
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.923743
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	No
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No NA No

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓
ii, iii	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					NA
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07.01.2023


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately