

# SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly Constituency: Jogindernagar -31  
(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Mandi  
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07-01-2023

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 11  
(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08-12-2022  
(f) Name of the elected candidate: Prakash Rana

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07-01-2023												
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.	11.	
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement )		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Kushal Bhardwaj Communist Party of India (Marxist)	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	2,55,473/-	Yes	50,000/-	Nil	82,500/-	89,643/-	
2	Thakur Surender Patel Indian national Congress	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	20,83,235/-	Yes	30,00,000/-	73,124/-	Nil	41,498/-	
3	Narender Kumar Bahujan Samaj Party	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	10,000/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4	Prakash Rana Bhartiya Janta Party	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	29,09,628/-	Yes	10,00,000/-	5,68,925/-	Rs 5,00,000/-	1,46,678/-	
5	Kamal Kant Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	3,28,373/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Rs 2,00,000	Nil	
6	Mehar Chand Rashtriya Lokneeti Party	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	1,16,590/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
7	Ravinder Pal Singh Aam Aadmi Party	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	2,89,852/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Rs 1,50,000	Nil	
8	Kulbhushan Thakur, IND.	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	76,155/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	7,310/-	
9	Thakur Surender Singh Advocate, INDEPENDENT	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	46,780/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
10	Baba Lal Giri, INDEPENDENT	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	8,320/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
11	Sanjeev Bhandari, IND.	07/01/2023	07/01/2023	Yes	Yes	10,82,722/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	

(Arindam Chaudhary), IAS  
District Election Officer (DC),  
Mandi District Mandi

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any, \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of the Expenditure Observer





Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_ I.

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Kushal Bhardwaj
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of India(Marxist) CPI(M)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (If not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 2,55,473/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 07/01/2023					 Signature (Name of the DEO), Mandi
23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date 07/01/2023						
					 Signature of the Expenditure Observer	

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 2

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO <i>Surinder Pal</i>
1	Name & address of the candidate	Thakur Surinder Pal
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress(INC)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Ram
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 20,83,235/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 07/01/2023					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*-

Date 07/01/2023

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately





Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_

3

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Narender Kumar
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party (BSP)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 10,000/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date 07/01/2023					Yes   Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandu
23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date 07/01/2023						
					 Signature of the Expenditure Observer	

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_ 4 \_\_\_\_\_

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Prakash <u>Rama</u>
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bhartiya Janta Party(BJP)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash <u>Rama</u>
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 29,09,628/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 07/01/2023					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandu

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*:-

Date 07/01/2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 5

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Kamal Kant
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party(RDP)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 3,28,373/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date : 07/01/2023					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*-

Date: 07/01/2023

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_ 6

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mehar Chand
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Lokneeti Party (RLP)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (If not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 1,16,590/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date : 07/01/2023					Signature (Name of the DEO) <b>Mandi</b>
23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-						
Date : 07/01/2023 <div style="text-align: right;">             Signature of the Expenditure Observer         </div>						

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately





Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_ 7 \_\_\_\_\_

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Ravinder Pal Singh
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party (AAP)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 2,89,852/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 07/01/2023					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi
23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date 07/01/2023						
					 Signature of the Expenditure Observer	

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately





Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 8 -----

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Kulbhushan Thakur
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
A		
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
A		
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 76,155/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date : 07/01/2023					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi	
23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-						
Date : 07/01/2023					 Signature of the Expenditure Observer	

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_ 9

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO Advocate
1	Name & address of the candidate	Shri. Surender Singh Thakur
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 46,780/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	N/A	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	N/A	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 07/01/2023					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*:-

Date 07/01/2023

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_ 10

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E - 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Baba Lal Giri
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (If not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 68,320/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A.
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A.
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A.
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A.
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A.
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓
ii, iii	✓	✓	✓	NA	✓	✓
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date 07/01/2023					Signature (Name of the DEO) <i>Mandi</i>	
23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date 07/01/2023						
					Signature of the Expenditure Observer <i>[Signature]</i>	

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_

Name of the State – Himachal Pradesh District – Mandi Election – V S E 2022


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Sanjeev Bhandari
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	31 - Jogindernagar
4	Name of the elected candidate	Prakash Rana
5	Date of declaration of result	08/12/2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03/01/2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (If not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07/01/2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07/01/2023
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....N.A..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	N.A.
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 10,82,722/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A. N.A.
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A.



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	N/A	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	N/A	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N.A.
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N.A.
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					N.A.
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					N.A.
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					N.A.
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					N.A.
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date 07/01/2023					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mand	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*-

Date 07/01/2023

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately