SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: (c) State and District: UNA

45-KUTLEHAR

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates:

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08.12.2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts:

07.01.2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: DAVINDER KUMAR (BHUTTO)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9		10.		11.
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or	Whether lodged in the manner required by law	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the	Total expens by the Party reported in F Abstract Sta	(As Part-III of	Total expenses incu others/entities as rep Part III of Abstract S	orted in	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
				No)	(Yes or No)	by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1.	Davinder Kumar (Bhutto) Indian National Congress	07.01.2023	04.01.2023	Yes	Yes	14,47,643	Yes	10,00,000	0	9,00,000 i) M/s Aryan Ent. ii) Sada Shiv iii) Deepak Joshi iv) Sandeep v) Mahesh		
2.	Virender Kanwar Bharatiya Janata Party	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	20,85,330	Yes	15,00,000	4,50,925	0	0	
3.	Anil Mankotia Aam Aadmi Party	07.01.2023	04.01.2023	Yes	Yes	3,01,948	Yes	0	0	0	0	
4.	Capt. Jaidyal Singh Pal Independent	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	66,650	Yes	0	0	0	0	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Signature of the DEO (DC) Una

Date: 08.01.2023

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

	RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961	
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEC
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Davinder Kumar (Bhutto)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	45-Kutlehar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Davinder Kumar (Bhutto)
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 14,47,643/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	ร์ ร
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes	

	(ii) Whether	duly swo	rn in affidavit l	has been submitted by candidate	Yes	
	(iii) Whether	requisite	vouchers in re	spect of items of election expenditure	Yes	
	submitted					1
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	nditure (except	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
	bank accoun	t		•		
18.	(i) Whether t	he DEO	had issued a no	tice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	(ii) Whether	the candi	date rectified t	he defect		
	(iii) Commer	nts of the	DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA:	
	rectified or n	•				_
19.	Whether the	items of	election expens	ses reported by the candidate correspond		
	with the expe	enses sho	wn in the Shad	ow Observation Register and Folder of	Yes	
		No, then	mention the fo			
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by
			Observation	evidence	account	the candidate
			Register		submitted	
		,			by the	
					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL						
20.		-	_	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure		
	•	•	Observer/RO/A	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
	campaign pe					
21.		•		mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
	to above, give the following details: - (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate					
	' '		NA			
	during camp					
	(ii) If yes, th		NA			
	discrepancie					
	mention the		BTA			
	(iii) Did the	candidate	NA			
:	(iv) If yes, p	lease Anı	NA			
				ional language) and mention date of		
	reply		, 	<i>5 5 , </i>		
L	1 , ,					

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA .
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01-2023	Signature
		(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01-2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 S.No. Description To be filled up by the DEO 1. Name & address of the candidate Virender Kanwar 2. Political Party affiliation, if any **Bharatiya Janata Party** 3. No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency 45-Kutlehar Name of the elected candidate 4. Davinder Kumar (Bhutto) 5. Date of declaration of result 08.12.2022 6. Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting 03.01.2023 7. (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of (i) Yes Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting (i) Yes Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account 8. Yes Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 9. Last date prescribed for lodging Account 07.01.2023 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account Yes 11. If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account 05.01.2023 (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting 12. Whether account lodged in time Yes 12 A. If not lodged in time, period of delay NA 13. If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for NA explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. 14. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate NA 14 A. Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate NA 15. Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of Rs. 20,85,330/the Abstract Statement 16. Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the Yes candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 17. If No, then please mention the following defects with details NA (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Yes Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged

S.No.	Description				To be filled u	ip by the DEO		
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	rn in affidavit l	nas been submitted by candidate	Yes			
	(iii) Whether	requisite	Yes					
	submitted							
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	ection Yes			
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	nditure (except	petty expenditure) routed through	Yes			
	bank accoun	t		•				
18.	(i) Whether t	he DEO	had issued a no	tice to the candidate for rectifying the				
	defect			•	No			
	` ′		date rectified the					
	` ′		DEO on the ab	ove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA			
	rectified or n		·					
19.			_	ses reported by the candidate correspond				
	•			ow Observation Register and Folder of	Yes			
			mention the fo			T		
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount		
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the	understated by the candidate		
			Observation	evidence	account submitted	the candidate		
			Register		by the			
					candidate			
i				NA				
TOTAL		1	l			·		
20.	Did the cand	lidate pro	duce his Regist	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure				
	for inspectio	n by the	Observer/RO/A	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes			
	campaign pe	riod						
21.	If DEO does	not agre	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA			
:	to above, give the following details: -							
	(i) Were the	defects n	NA					
	during camp	0 1						
	(ii) If yes, th		NA					
	discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and							
	mention the							
	(iii) Did the	candidate	NA NA					
	(iv) If yes, p	lease An	nex copies of s	uch explanation received, (with English	NA			
	translation o	of the sam	e, if it is in reg	ional language) and mention date of				
	reply							

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
`	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observation	ver*-
	Kingt
Date: 08.01-2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
Date: 08.0(*7023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex

separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

	RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961	
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Anil Mankotia
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	45-Kutlehar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Davinder Kumar (Bhutto)
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 3,01,948/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes

S.No.	Description				To be filled	up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	orn in affidavit l	has been submitted by candidate	Yes	
	(iii) Whether	requisite	Yes			
	submitted					•
					Yes	·
	(iv) Whethe	r all expe	nditure (except	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
	bank accoun	t				
18.	(i) Whether t	the DEO	had issued a no	tice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	(ii) Whether	the cand	idate rectified the	he defect		
	` '		DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
	rectified or n					
19.			•	ses reported by the candidate correspond	Yes	
	with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of					
	Evidence. If	·		A		
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by
			Observation	evidence	account	the candidate
			Register		by the	
					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL						
20.	Did the cand	lidate pro	duce his Regist	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure		
		•	•	Authorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
	campaign pe					
21.	If DEO does	not agre	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
	to above, giv	e the fol				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate					
	during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to				NA	
	discrepancie	s with Er				
	mention the date of notice					
	(iii) Did the	candidate	give any reply	to the notice?	NA	
	(iv) If yes, p	lease An	nex copies of su	uch explanation received, (with English	NA	
	translation o	f the sam	e, if it is in regi	ional language) and mention date of		
	reply					



S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08 ·01 · 2023	Signature July
		(RAGHAV ŠHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

08.01.2023 Date:

Signature of the Expenditure

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like

giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEC
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Capt. Jaidyal Singh Pal
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	45-Kutlehar
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Davinder Kumar (Bhutto)
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No: 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 66,650/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes

S.No.	Description	:			To be filled	up by the DEO	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	Yes				
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through				Yes		
	bank accoun	t					
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the						
	defect					No	
	(ii) Whether	the candi					
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA	
19.	Whether the	items of					
	with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of					Yes	
	Evidence. If No, then mention the following:						
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount	
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by	
•			Observation	evidence	account	the candidate	
	·		Register		submitted		
					by the		
					candidate		
i				NA			
TOTAL		•					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure						
	for inspectio	n by the (Yes				
:	campaign pe	campaign period					
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred				NA		
	to above, giv						
	(i) Were the		NA				
	during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting						
	(ii) If yes, th		NA				
	discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and						
	mention the date of notice (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?						
	(iii) Did the	candidate	NA				
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English				NA		
	translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of						
	reply						

\$ -- ·

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature July
		(RAGHAV ŠHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01. 2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.