SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 36-Bhoranj (SC) (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 05

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Hamirpur (d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023 (f) Name of the elected candidate: Suresh Kumar

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes/No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes/No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by other/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by cash Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and Mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Dr. Anil Dhiman (Bharatiya Janata Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	26,52,630	Yes	25,00,000	5,39,425	Nil	Nil	-
2	Jarnail Singh (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	57496	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
3	Suresh Kumar (Indian National Congress)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	17,50,475	Yes	30,00,000	Nil	2,00,000	Nil	-
4	Rajni Kaushal (Aam Aadmi Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	623577	Yes	Nil	Nil	626800	Nil	-
5	Pawan Kumar (Independent)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	304000	Yes	Nil	Nil	283000	Nil	-

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Signature of DEO Hamirpur

Date: 7-1-2023

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Annexure-C13

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur **Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dr. Anil Dhiman s/o Sh. Ishwar Dass Dhiman, Village Dialari, PO & Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	36-Bhoranj (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Suresh Kumar, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	*Yes*
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	*Yes*
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NA*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	*26,52,630/-*
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	*Yes*
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	*Yes*
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	*Yes*
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	*Yes*
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	*Yes*
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	*NA* *NA* *NA*
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	*Yes*

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	*Yes*

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-- NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-- NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	-- No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	-- No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-- No
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-- No
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes Signature Jitender Sanjta (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-2023

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Annexure-C13

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Jarnail Singh S/o Sh. Jagdev Singh, , Village Dialari, PO & Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	36-Bhoranj (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Suresh Kumar, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	*Yes*
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	*Yes*
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NA*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	57,496/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA NA NA
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-- NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-- NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NO
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NO
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NO
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes Signature Jitender Sanjta (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-2023

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Annexure-C13

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur **Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Suresh Kumar S/o Gian Chand , Village Jahu Kalan, PO. Jahu & Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	36-Bhoranj (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Suresh Kumar, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	*Yes*
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	*Yes*
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NA*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	1750475 /-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA NA NA
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	NA

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	----

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NO
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NO
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes Signature Jitender Sanjta (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-2023

Signature of Expenditure Observer

(6)

Annexure-C13

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Rajni Kaushal W/O Sh. Rajesh Kumar KaushalVPO Dho, Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmy Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	36-Bhoranj(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Suresh Kumar, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	*Yes*
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	*Yes*
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NA*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				6,23,577/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA NA NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				NA	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NO
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	*Yes* *Signature* Jitender Sanjta. *(Name of the DEO):* District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-2023

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Annexure-C13

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Pawan Kumar S/O Sh. Gian Chand, Vill. Chatter Kalan, PO Ludder Mahadev, Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	36-Bhoranj (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Suresh Kumar, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	*Yes*
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	*Yes*
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	*Yes*
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	*Yes*
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	*Yes*
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	*NA*
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	*NA*
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				304000/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA NA NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				NA	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	No
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NO
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes Signature Jitender Sanjta (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-2023.

Signature of Expenditure Observer